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Our goal: Dramatically increase the scale of peer-to-peer shooter games

Why massive-scale P2P games?
- Massively multiplayer games growing in popularity
- Leveraging participants' machines has advantages
  - Reduces subscription costs, dependence on infrastructure
  - Allows scaling to arbitrary numbers of clients
- P2P because using one peer as a server limits game scale

The outbound bandwidth problem
- In large games, players can see many others
  - You send position updates to everyone who can see you
- Residential broadband is asymmetric
- Insufficient capacity to send updates at preferred frequency

Example (Quake III)
- Update rate: 20 updates/sec
- Update size: 100 bytes
- Bandwidth needed per peer: 16 kb/s
- Supportable peers at 128 kbps: Only 8!

128 Kb/s upstream, 1.5 Mb/s down

Donnybrook design principles
- Some updates very time-critical, but only to one peer
  - Donnybrook component: Guidable AI
- Player attention bounded when in a crowd
  - Inconsistencies more likely to be noticed in certain objects
  - Donnybrook component: Focus set
- For out-of-focus objects, realism more important than accuracy
  - Donnybrook component: Guidable AI

Pairwise rapid agreement
- Scenario: Object A modifies another object B
  - Alice does damage to Bob
  - Alice dies, increasing Bob’s score
  - Alice picks up an item or opens a door
- Goal: Modification consistent and applied quickly
  - If Alice brags about hitting Bob on voice chat, he should know what she’s talking about
- Approach: Essentially, asynchronous RPC
  - Peer managing object A sends update to B’s peer
  - Object B updated immediately
  - Scalable: 1-to-1 communication, not 1-to-n

Focus set
- When inconsistent states are likely to be noticed, infrequent updates are bad
  - Alice intently watching, following, and/or shooting Bob
  - Bob driving a vehicle Alice is riding in
- Each peer reserves fraction of upload bandwidth to send updates each frame to its focus set
  - Set is the n players most interested in its avatar’s state
  - n is constant (~4 or 5), so bandwidth is constrained
- How focus set is chosen
  - Each peer A computes attention value heuristic for each other peer B, estimating A’s interest in B’s state
  - Peers piggyback these values on update messages
  - Peer B selects n highest such values for its focus set

Guidable AI
- Limited bandwidth for peers not in focus set
  - Not a lot to begin with, then focus set takes most of it
  - Can only send updates every second or so
  - Dead reckoning doesn’t look realistic at such low update rates
- Our leverage: players not very interested in these objects
  - Just needs to look realistic, doesn’t need to be exactly right
  - If not focused on an object, only will notice if it’s acting oddly
- Our approach: Guidable AI
  - Players not sending frequent updates instead send predictions of where they’ll likely be at time of next update
  - Those players get simulated locally by AIs, which follow natural-looking paths to accommodate predicted behavior
  - Prediction also encompasses behavior, such as how “shooty” and “jumpy” the AI should act
  - Implementation can leverage existing AI code written for bots

Evaluation
- Implemented Donnybrook techniques on P2P Quake III
- Evaluated “fun” with user study
- Compared three versions
  - LowBW: Current state-of-the-art in low-bandwidth setting
  - LowBW-Donny: Donnybrook in low-bandwidth setting
  - HighBW: Quake III in LAN setting

Conclusions
- Donnybrook significantly better than state-of-the-art bandwidth compensation techniques
- Donnybrook makes low-bandwidth game almost as much fun as if bandwidth were unconstrained
- Donnybrook techniques dramatically increase scalability

Future work
- Refine techniques for handling missiles
- Develop latency-sensitive, bandwidth-sharing multicast framework to leverage heterogeneous bandwidth capacities

Form your own opinion by playing our demo!